Applicability: Model R22 Helicopters, serial numbers (S/N) 0002 through 2862, inclusive, with sprag clutch, part number (P/N) A188–2, S/N 3708 through 3757 inclusive, 3808 through 3893 inclusive, and 3908 through 4207 inclusive, installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within 30 calendar days or 50 hours time-in-service, whichever occurs first, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent sprag clutch failure, loss of main rotor RPM during autorotation, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

- (a) Replace sprag clutch, P/N A188–2, S/N 3708 through 3757 inclusive, 3808 through 3893 inclusive, and 3908 through 4207 inclusive, with sprag clutch, P/N A188–2, S/N 4208 or higher.
- (b) Remove from the Rotorcraft Flight Manual the Special Pilot Caution, revised March 22, 1999, contained in Robinson Helicopter Company R22 Service Bulletin SB–85, dated March 22, 1999, or the Special Pilot Caution insert in the Normal Procedures Section of the Rotorcraft Flight Manual between pages P.4–8 and P.4–9 required by AD 99–07–17, Docket No. 99–SW–24–AD, Amendment 39–11126 (64 FR 17966, April 13, 1999), as applicable.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

- (d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (e) This amendment becomes effective on May 5, 2000.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 13, 2000.

#### Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–9897 Filed 4–19–00; 8:45 am]

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

#### **Customs Service**

# 19 CFR Part 101

[T.D. 00-27]

# Technical Correction; Description of Gramercy, Louisiana, Boundaries

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document amends the Customs Regulations pertaining to the field organization of Customs by correcting the boundary description of Gramercy, Louisiana.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 20, 2000.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harold Singer, Chief, Regulations Branch, U.S. Customs Service, 202–927– 2268.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

Customs established a port of entry of Gramercy, Louisiana, by a final rule document published as Treasury Decision (T.D.) 82–93 in the **Federal Register** (47 FR 21039) on May 17, 1982. A description of the port of entry was set forth in the document.

On May 31, 1984, Customs published in the **Federal Register** (49 FR 22629) T.D. 84–126, a final rule document setting forth the port limits of all the ports in the then New Orleans Customs district. One of the ports, of which the boundaries were described, was Gramercy, Louisiana. The document extended the limits of the Gramercy port from those set forth in T. D. 82–93.

In a document published in the **Federal Register** (49 FR 27142) on July 2, 1984, Customs delayed the effective date of T.D. 84–126 regarding the extension of the port boundaries of Gramercy. This document stated that "[t]he listing for Gramercy shall remain as set forth in section 101.3(b), Customs Regulations," meaning that the description of the Gramercy port would continue to be as set forth in T.D. 82–93.

The Customs Regulations correctly reflected that the port limits of Gramercy were as set forth in T.D. 82–

93 until T.D. 95–77 was published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 50008) on September 27, 1995. In that document, which included a revision of section 101.3 to reflect the reorganization of Customs, the reference to T.D. 84–126 was inadvertently inserted in the "Limits of port "column next to the listing of the port of entry of Gramercy under the State of Louisiana.

This document corrects the error by removing the reference "(Restated in T.D. 84–126)" in the "Limits of port" column adjacent to the entry of Gramercy in the "Ports of entry column" under the State of Louisiana in section 101.3(b), Customs Regulations.

# Inapplicability of Public Notice and Comment and Delayed Effective Date

Because this document relates to agency organization and management and merely corrects the geographical description of a port, it is not subject to the notice and public procedure requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553. For the same reasons, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), a delayed effective date is not required.

# **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Because this document is not subject to the notice and public procedure requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553, this document is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

# **Executive Order 12866**

Agency organization matters are exempt from consideration under Executive Order 12866.

# **Drafting Information**

The principal author of this document was Janet L. Johnson. However, personnel from other offices participated in its development.

# List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 101

Customs duties and inspection, Customs ports of entry, Exports, Imports, Organization and functions (Government agencies).

# Amendment to the Regulations

Accordingly, Part 101 of the Customs Regulations is amended as set forth below.

# **PART 101—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

1. The general authority citation for Part 101 and the specific authority citation for § 101.3 continue to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 2, 66, 1202 (General Note 20, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), 1623, 1624.

Sections 101.3 and 101.4 also issued under 19 U.S.C. 1 and 58b;

\* \* \* \* \*

# §101.3 [Amended]

2. The list of ports in § 101.3(b)(1) is amended, under the State of Louisiana in the entry for Gramercy, by removing in the "Limits of port" column the words "(Restated in T.D. 84–126)."

Dated: April 14, 2000.

#### Stuart P. Seidel,

Assistant Commissioner, Office of Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc. 00–9868 Filed 4–19–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### 28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 196-2000]

# Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice is exempting a Privacy Act system of records from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5), and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act. 5 U.S.C. 552a. This system of records is maintained by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and is entitled "Attorney/Representative Complaint/Petitiion Files, JUSTICE/INS-022."

Information in this system relates to complaints filed against nonagency attorneys and/or representatives who have engaged in unethical or unprofessional activities. The exemptions are necessary to avoid interference during the conduct of criminal, civil, or administrative actions or investigations. Specifically, the exemptions are necessary to prevent subjects of investigations from frustrating the investigatory process. The exemptions are necessary to avoid interference during the conduct of civil or administrative actions or investigations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 20, 2000. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Cahill—202–307–1823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 16, 1999 (64 FR 70203) a proposed rule was published in the Federal Register with an invitation to comment. No comments were received.

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, it is

hereby stated that the order will not have "a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."

# List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 16

Administrative Practices and Procedures, Courts, Freedom of Information Act, Government in the Sunshine Act, and the Privacy Act.

Dated: April 5, 2000.

# Stephen R. Colgate,

Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793–78, 28 CFR part 16 is amended as follows:

# PART 16—[AMENDED]

1. The authority for Part 16 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301, 552, 552a, 552b(g), 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 534, 31 U.S.C. 3717, 9701.

2. 28 CFR 16.99 is amended by adding paragraphs (k) and (l) to read as follows:

# §16.99 Exemption of the Immigration and Naturalization Service Systems-limited access.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (k) The Attorney/Representative Complaint/Petition File (JUSTICE/INS-022) system of records is exempt under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2) from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5), and (8); and (g); but only to the extent that this system contains records within the scope of subsection (j)(2), and to the extent that records in this system are subject to exemption therefrom. In addition, this system of records is also exempt in part under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a (k)(2) from subsections (c)(3); (d); and (e)(1), but only to the extent that this system contains records within the scope of subsection (k)(2), and to the extent that records in this system are subject to exemption therefrom.
- (l) The following justifications apply to the exemptions from particular subsections:
- (1) From subsection (c)(3) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(1) of this section.
- (2) From subsection (c)(4) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(2) of this section.
- (3) From the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(3) of this section.
- (4) From subsection (e)(1) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(4) of this section.
- (5) From subsection (e)(2) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(5) of this section.
- (6) From subsection (e)(3) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(6) of this section.

- (7) From subsection (e)(5) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(7) of this section.
- (8) From subsection (e)(8) for reasons stated in paragraph (h)(8) of this section.
- (9) From subsection (g) to the extent that the system is exempt from the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d).

[FR Doc. 00–9744 Filed 4–19–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–CJ–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# 28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 197-2000]

# Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice is exempting a Privacy Act system of records from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5), and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a. This system of records is maintained by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and is entitled "Worksite Enforcement Activity Record and Index (LYNX), JUSTICE/INS-025."

Information in this system relates to an enforcement inspection or investigation pursued under the Immigration and Nationality Act, Section 274A(e), involving a specific individual employer. The exemptions are necessary to avoid interference during the conduct of criminal, civil, or administrative actions or investigations. Specifically, the exemptions are necessary to prevent subjects of investigations from frustrating the investigatory process. The exemptions are necessary to avoid interference during the conduct of civil or administrative actions or investigations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 20, 2000. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Cahill—202–307–1823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 16, 1999 (64 FR 70202) a proposed rule was published in the Federal Register with an invitation to comment. No comments were received.

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, it is hereby stated that the order will not have "a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."

#### List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 16

Administrative Practices and Procedures, Courts, Freedom of