

the type of insurance coverage and benefits provided by the Contract, will remain the same.

### Conclusion

Applicants assert that, for the reasons summarized above, the terms of the proposed substitution meet the standards set forth in section 26(b) of the 1940 Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-12024 Filed 5-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44269; File No. SR-BSE-00-22]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Minimum Equity Requirements for Derivative-Based Products

May 7, 2001.

On January 3, 2001, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change relating to minimum equity requirements for derivative-based products.

The proposal amends the Rules of the Board of Governors of the Exchange to reduce from \$1,000,000 to \$200,000 the minimum equity requirement for firms trading Portfolio Depositary Receipts ("PDRs"), if the firm arranges to clear its trades through another forum and obtains Exchange approval to do so.

Notice of the proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2001.<sup>3</sup> No comments were received on the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.<sup>4</sup> In particular, the

Commission believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> which requires, among other things, that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change would reduce capital requirements for firms trading PDRs pursuant to clearing arrangements approved by the Exchange, while ensuring maintenance of adequate capital.

*It is therefore ordered,* pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> that the proposed rule change (File No. SR-BSE-00-12) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-11988 Filed 5-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority; Correction

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Social Security Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2001, regarding the Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority. The document contained an incorrect title for the Division of Information Technology Integration in the Office of Management in the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA).

### Corrections

In the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2001, in FR Doc. 01-10081, on page 20703, in the first column, H. 5. Correct the title to read:

5. The "Office Automation Support Staff" as "Division of Information Technology Integration" (TAHE7).

In the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2001, in FR Doc. 01-10081, on page 20703, in the second column, under "Functions" item H.5. Correct the title to read:

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>7</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

5. The "Office of Automation Support Staff" as "Division of Information Technology Integration" (TAHE7).

In the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2001, in FR Doc. 01-10081, on page 20703, in the third column, line 12: Remove the period between the word "needs" and the word "and".

Dated: May 4, 2001.

**Lewis H. Kaiser,**

*Director, Center for Classification and Organization Management.*

[FR Doc. 01-11962 Filed 5-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent to Rule on Application (01-06-U-00-YKM) to Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Yakima Air Terminal-McAllister Field, Submitted by the Yakima Air Terminal Board, Yakima Air Terminal-McAllister Field, Yakima, Washington

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use, PFC revenue at Yakima Air Terminal-McAllister Field under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 13, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: J. Wade Bryant, Manager; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 250, Renton, Washington, 98055. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Bob Clem, Airport Manager, at the following address: 2400 West Washington Avenue, Yakima, Washington 98903.

Air Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Yakima Air Terminal-McAllister Field, under § 158.23 of part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Suzanne Lee-Pang; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 250, Renton,

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44101 (March 26, 2001), 66 FR 18126 (April 5, 2001).

<sup>4</sup> In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78(c)(f).